Strategic Pathways for the Global Energy and Forest Transition: A Technical Framework for the COP30 Presidency Roadmaps

The emergence of the COP30 Presidency Roadmaps represents a critical evolution in the international climate regime, moving beyond the normative consensus established at COP28 toward a robust implementation framework.[1] The Global Stocktake (GST) concluded that while the Paris Agreement has catalyzed significant progress, the current trajectory remains insufficient to bridge the implementation gap required to limit warming to 1.5°C.[2] Central to the Brazilian Presidency's vision is the concept of *mutirão*—a traditional practice of collective mobilization for a common good—which serves as the philosophical foundation for two distinct but interconnected roadmaps: the Transition Away from Fossil Fuels and the Halting and Reversing of Deforestation by 2030.[1] This report provides a comprehensive analysis of the systemic barriers, enabling levers, and technological pathways necessary to operationalize these mandates within a just, orderly, and equitable framework.

Structural Barriers and the Vicious Cycle of Fossil Fuel Dependency

The transition away from fossil fuel energy systems in developing and emerging market economies (EMDEs) is obstructed by a complex web of "triple penalties": higher costs of capital, unaffordable upfront investments in clean technology, and an infrastructure "lock-in" that tethers national development to legacy coal and gas projects.[5] This state of "fiscal paralysis" creates an energy trap where the lack of investment capacity prevents the realization of lower operational costs associated with renewables.[5]

Economic and Institutional Constraints

The primary economic barrier preventing a rapid transition is the high cost of capital (CoC). For capital-intensive renewable projects, where 80-90% of lifecycle costs are front-loaded, even modest variations in interest rates can determine project bankability.[6] In India, analysis suggests that a 400 basis point increase in the CoC could delay the nation's 500 GW renewable target by approximately 100 GW.[6] This sensitivity is exacerbated by perceived sovereign risks, currency volatility, and the financial distress of state-owned distribution companies (DISCOMs).[6]

Economic Barrier	Mechanism of Impact	Broader Systemic Implication
High Cost of Capital	Elevated risk premia for EMDE projects compared to advanced economies.[6]	Prevents price parity between solar/wind and existing coal generation.[5]
Fiscal Dependency	Reliance on fossil fuel revenues to fund public services and external debt.[8]	National Oil Companies (NOCs) face stranded asset risks, threatening fiscal stability.[9]
PPA Counterparty Risk	Financial instability of national utilities leading to payment delays.[6]	Erodes investor confidence and creates bottlenecks in project pipelines.[6]
Grid Infrastructure Lag	Under-investment in transmission and distribution (T&D) networks.[11]	Leads to renewable curtailment and limits the integration of variable energy.[7]

Institutional barriers are equally formidable. Many EMDEs operate within regulatory frameworks designed for centralized, baseload fossil fuel plants. The transition requires a paradigm shift toward flexible, decentralized systems, yet permitting processes for transmission lines often take twice as long as the construction of solar farms.[10] This "regulatory mismatch" results in connection queues exceeding 3,000 GW worldwide, effectively stalling the energy transition.[11]

The Pivotal Role of Grid Infrastructure

Grids are increasingly identified as the "weak link" of the energy transition.[11] Modern, smart, and expanded networks are essential for integrating variable renewable energy (VRE) like solar and wind, yet global investment in grids has remained static at around USD 300 billion per year since 2010—a level far below what is required.[11] The International Energy Agency (IEA) warns that a "Grid Delay Case" would result in cumulative CO_2 emissions that are 58 gigatonnes higher by 2050 compared to climate-aligned scenarios.[11]

In developing economies, the challenge is one of both scale and performance. EMDEs (excluding China) need to expand their grids by more than 150% by 2050 to accommodate soaring demand driven by urbanization and industrialization.[12] Grid congestion already hinders development; in the Netherlands, over 10,000 large users were waiting for grid connections in early 2025, a situation that reflects the broader global trend of infrastructure failing to keep pace with renewable deployment.[7]

The Political Economy of Energy Subsidy Reform

Fossil fuel subsidy reform is a cornerstone of the transition, as these subsidies create distortive price signals that incentivize inefficient consumption and drain public funds.[13] Globally, public support for fossil fuels remains staggering, with estimates ranging from USD 83 billion in direct outlays to over USD 4 trillion when accounting for environmental externalities.[15]

Case Studies in Reform: Indonesia and India

The Indonesian experience underscores the delicate balance required to maintain social stability during reform. Early attempts in 1998 resulted in price hikes of up to 71%, triggering mass protests and the fall of the administration.[17] Subsequent successful reforms in the 2000s utilized a more sophisticated approach:

- **Strategic Burden-Sharing**: Transferring the burden to less influential groups while protecting the poor.[15]
- **Public Communication**: Clearly articulating how subsidy savings would be reinvested in health and education.[17]
- **Social Safety Nets**: Ghana and Indonesia both used conditional cash transfers to alleviate the financial pain for households, linking fuel price increases to the elimination of school fees or direct income support.[17]

India's reform of LPG subsidies further illustrates the potential of "smart" subsidies to promote clean cooking while reducing fiscal strain. By utilizing digital identification systems to target subsidies toward lower-income households, India has successfully expanded access while bolsters funding for renewable energy.[17] However, data suggests that non-household sectors—such as industry and transport—often remain significant beneficiaries of subsidies, necessitating more granular targeting to ensure that fiscal space is effectively repurposed for the transition.[15]

Systematic Levers for Acceleration

To move from a "vicious cycle" to a "virtuous cycle" of investment, the World Bank proposes a 6-step framework:

1. **Government Leadership**: Setting clear national targets.[5]
2. **Supportive Regulation**: Establishing a level playing field for clean energy.[5]
3. **Capable Institutions**: Strengthening utilities and planning bodies.[5]
4. **Risk Mitigation**: Deploying instruments to reduce the cost of capital.[5]
5. **Transparent Project Allocation**: Utilizing competitive auctions to drive down tariffs.[5]
6. **Delivering Results**: Demonstrating the immediate benefits of affordability and energy security.[5]

Technological Innovation Pathways in Heavy Industry

Decarbonizing hard-to-abate sectors like steel and cement is essential, as they account for 14% of global CO_2 emissions.[22] In middle-income countries like India, production capacity is expected to grow 2 to 2.5 times by 2040, making the adoption of near-zero emission technologies a race against time.[24]

Demand-Side Efficiency in Steel and Cement

While supply-side breakthroughs like green hydrogen are critical for deep decarbonization, demand-side energy efficiency offers immediate, low-cost opportunities.[24]

Industrial Sector	Technology Pathway	Mitigation Potential	Economic Impact
Steel	Scrap-based secondary steel (EAF).[24]	60-85% reduction in intensity.[24]	Low cost of abatement (CoA).[24]
Steel	Waste Heat Recovery (WHR).[24]	10-20% reduction.[24]	High initial Capex but negative CoA.[24]
Steel	Top Gas Recycling Blast Furnace (TGR-BF).[24]	20-25% reduction.[24]	Transitional candidate for capacity addition.[24]
Cement	Clinker substitution (PPC/PSC).[24]	35-70% reduction.[24]	Near-zero CoA; limited by consumer awareness.[24]
Cement	Calcined Clay substitution.[25]	Reduces clinker ratio to 0.52 by 2050.[25]	Scalable and available now.[25]
Cement	Digital/AI optimization.[23]	3-5% increase in efficiency.[23]	Practical tool for aging plants.[23]

The adoption of these technologies is often stalled by a lack of "green premiums." Market creation through public procurement and carbon intensity standards—such as the "Buy Clean" initiative—is vital to provide producers with the certainty needed to invest in high-Capex upgrades.[22]

Digitalization and the Role of AI

Digitalization is a "critical enabler" for 63% of industrial leaders.[26] AI-driven operating systems are currently being used to stabilize high-temperature processes in steel and cement, helping operators extract more performance from aging infrastructure.[23] For example, AI "digital twins" can manage molten metal movements in melt shops or optimize fuel mixes in cement kilns, leading to carbon reductions of 10,000 tons per year per plant.[23] Furthermore, digital monitoring enables demand-side flexibility, allowing industrial users to shift consumption in response to grid price signals.[26]

Finance and Market Mechanisms for De-risking Investment

The investment gap for the power sector in developing countries is estimated at USD 1 trillion per year.[5] Traditional finance is often insufficient to address the unique risk profile of clean energy in EMDEs, necessitating the use of blended finance and de-risking instruments.[18]

Innovative Financial Instruments

A suite of de-risking tools is required to achieve a risk-return profile that attracts private capital at scale:

- **Global Guarantee Mechanisms**: By scaling up existing risk guarantees through a multilateral alliance, savings could reach USD 1.5 trillion globally by 2030.[20] Such a mechanism would reduce risk premia by pooling risk across multiple countries.[20]
- **Contracts for Difference (CfDs) and Feed-in Tariffs (FiTs)**: These instruments stabilize revenue streams for renewable projects, protecting investors from price volatility.[18]
- **Sustainability-Linked Finance**: Instruments like sustainability-linked bonds—already utilized by players like JSW Steel and UltraTech—incentivize the achievement of decarbonization targets through pricing adjustments.[24]
- **Green Public Procurement (GPP)**: Governments can use their purchasing power to create demand for low-carbon materials, effectively de-risking the "green premium" for early movers.[22]

The "Scaling Solar" initiative by the World Bank Group provides a successful blueprint for such mechanisms, offering standardized contracts and competitive procurement to launch grid-connected solar within two years of engagement.[31] Similarly, the GET.invest platform has successfully mobilized EUR 1.6 billion in clean energy investment by providing technical assistance and financial mapping for developers in Africa and the Caribbean.[33]

Navigating the Just Transition: Lessons from National

Roadmaps

A "just transition" ensures that the pivot to a net-zero future does not leave workers or vulnerable communities behind.[8] This is particularly challenging in countries with high fossil fuel dependence, where coal, oil, or gas might account for over 60-80% of power generation and significant national employment.[35]

Just Energy Transition Partnerships (JETPs)

JETPs have emerged as a high-profile financing mechanism to support coal-dependent emerging economies like South Africa, Indonesia, and Vietnam.[37] These partnerships are designed to be "country-driven," linking emissions mitigation with social equity.[19]

JETP Country	Financial Pledge	Coal Dependence	Primary Social/Institutional Challenge
South Africa	USD 11.6 billion.[37]	84.6% of power.[36]	2.5 million residents in mining regions face job losses; strong coal lobby resistance.[37]
Indonesia	USD 20 billion.[37]	61.6% of power.[36]	Opaque stakeholder consultation; five competing financial mechanisms.[38]
Vietnam	USD 15.5 billion.[37]	Rising demand.[36]	Resource-intensive negotiations; need for high-level ministerial coordination.[38]

Key lessons from JETP implementation include the necessity of long-term planning (often 15-20 years) and the avoidance of "short-termism" in grant distribution.[19] Analysis indicates that grants currently represent a small portion of pledges and are often used for feasibility studies rather than long-term social investment in affected municipalities.[19] Furthermore, the lack of transparency and underdeveloped oversight mechanisms in some JETPs create risks for corruption, which could divert funds away from the communities they were designed to serve.[40]

The Spanish Model of Just Transition

Spain provides a distinct model through its "Just Transition Agreements" (JTAs). These agreements include regional governments and trade unions in managing the coal and nuclear phase-out, reducing opposition by ensuring benefits are shared fairly.[41] The proposal for "Just Renewable Transition Agreements" (JRTAs) aims to extend this to the deployment of new renewables, helping to mitigate social backlash against land-use changes.[41]

Halting and Reversing Deforestation by 2030

The Roadmap for Halting and Reversing Deforestation is an "action-oriented" companion to the fossil fuel roadmap.[1] Forests play a dual role in climate action, serving as massive carbon sinks and as the foundation for the livelihoods of Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities (IPLCs).[42]

Systemic Barriers to Forest Conservation

Weak governance, lack of land tenure, and agricultural subsidies are the primary drivers of deforestation.[42] Globally, agricultural subsidies amounting to USD 470 billion annually harm forests, incentivizing the conversion of ecosystems for cattle pasture and commodity crops.[42] In the Brazilian Amazon, cattle expansion accounts for approximately a quarter of all agriculture-driven deforestation globally.[43]

Barrier Category	Specific Obstacle	Strategic Countermeasure
Rights & Tenure	Overlap between Indigenous lands and temporary leases (up to 60 years).[43]	Secure land and resource rights for IPLCs; implement FPIC.[42]
Finance	"Debt-deforestation cycle" where countries exploit forests to pay debt.[42]	Debt-for-nature swaps and MDB debt restructuring.[42]
Trade	Absence of binding legislation for corporate value chain due diligence.[43]	Mandate deforestation-free supply chain standards in major markets.[42]
Incentives	Standing forests are valued less than cleared land.[46]	Repurpose harmful subsidies toward agroforestry and

		bioeconomy.[42]

The Power of the Indigenous Bioeconomy

The "Indigenous bioeconomy" centers the ancestral knowledge of traditional communities in the sustainable management of forests.[47] The Xingu Seeds Network (ARSX) in Brazil serves as a benchmark model:

- **Scale and Impact**: 700 seed collectors from 100 Indigenous villages.[48]
- **Economic Benefit**: Generated over USD 1.7 million in income, proving that "standing forests" have tangible market value.[48]
- **Restoration Success**: Contributed to the planting of 27 million trees on 11,000 hectares of degraded land.[48]

Success in this arena requires "direct financing" mechanisms that bypass top-down bureaucratic layers and provide resources directly to IPLC-led organizations.[45] At COP30, the adoption of an "Indigenous NDC" highlighted 36 measures—including demarcation as a mitigation policy and zones free from exploitation—as pillars for future climate action.[45]

Integrated Strategic Recommendations for the COP30 Presidency

The transition away from fossil fuels and the protection of forests are interdependent goals that require a "Baku to Belém" roadmap for climate finance, targeting USD 1.3 trillion to support implementation in developing nations.[2]

Synthesis of Core Levers

A successful roadmap for COP30 must synthesize the following levers into a coherent global effort:

1. **Grid Modernization as a Global Public Good**: International finance must shift from focusing solely on generation capacity to modernizing and digitizing the networks of the Global South.[10]
2. **Harmonized Carbon Accounting and Standards**: Establishing global standards for "near-zero" materials and "deforestation-free" commodities is essential to activate demand-side levers.[22]
3. **Global Guarantee Mechanisms for De-risking**: Scaling up risk-pooling initiatives can reduce the cost of capital by 20% in high-risk regions, unlocking the "virtuous cycle" of investment.[20]
4. **IPLC Centricity in Forest Governance**: Securing land rights and ensuring direct access to at least 30% of forest finance for Indigenous communities is the most effective way to ensure long-term ecosystem stability.[42]
5. **Triple-Track Subsidies Reform**: Governments must simultaneously remove fossil fuel

subsidies, repurpose agricultural incentives, and provide direct social compensation to maintain the political will for transition.[14]

By framing the roadmaps through the lens of *mutirão*, the COP30 Presidency can move from abstract goals to a practical instrument that coordinates, directs, and amplifies global efforts, ensuring that the transition is not only just and orderly but also permanent.[1] The journey from Belém to the 2030 targets will require a fundamental shift in how the world values energy, forests, and human rights, but the roadmap provides the first comprehensive navigational guide for this essential transformation.[2]

Works cited

1. COP30Presidencyinvitation_to_submit_contributions.pdf
2. COP30 Presidency releases Executive Report and outlines next steps to accelerate global climate implementation | UNFCCC, accessed April 10, 2026, https://unfccc.int/news/cop30-presidency-releases-executive-report-and-outlines-next-steps-to-accelerate-global-climate
3. Case Studies on Blended Finance and Sustainable Investing in Brazil, accessed April 10, 2026, https://siri.sipa.columbia.edu/sites/siri.sipa.columbia.edu/files/content/SIRI%20Blended%20Finance_Case%20Studies%20Brazil_2025_1.pdf
4. Brazil Reiterates Commitment to Just Transition, Deforestation Roadmaps, accessed April 10, 2026, https://sdg.iisd.org/news/brazil-reiterates-commitment-to-just-transition-deforestation-roadmaps/
5. Breaking Down Barriers to Clean Energy Transition - World Bank, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2023/05/16/breaking-down-barriers-to-clean-energy-transition
6. Costof Capital for Indian Renewable Energy Projects: A Review of Methodologies, Risk Drivers, and Policy Evolution - Sustainable Finance, accessed April 10, 2026, https://sustainablefinance.ox.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2025/12/Cost-of-Capitol-for-Indian-Renewable-Energy-Projects.pdf
7. Grid congestion is posing challenges for energy security and transitions – Analysis - IEA, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.iea.org/commentaries/grid-congestion-is-posing-challenges-for-energy-security-and-transitions
8. Roadmap on the Transition Away from Fossil Fuels - Our Input, accessed April 10, 2026, https://climatestrategies.org/roadmap-to-taff-submission/
9. Just transitions in the oil and gas sector - Research - World Resources Institute, accessed April 10, 2026, https://publications.wri.org/just-transitions-oil-gas-sector
10. Transmission & Distribution Challenges in the Energy Transition - Vision | think tank, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.thinktank.vision/media/attachments/2025/11/05/pssg-6---paper.pdf

11. Executive summary – Electricity Grids and Secure Energy Transitions – Analysis - IEA, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.iea.org/reports/electricity-grids-and-secure-energy-transitions/executive-summary
12. The State of Clean Energy, in 10 Charts - World Resources Institute, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.wri.org/insights/state-clean-energy-charted
13. Publication: Implementing Energy Subsidy Reforms : Evidence from Developing Countries - Open Knowledge Repository, accessed April 10, 2026, https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/entities/publication/5e05d32d-4dd2-5a45-9537-c2ccf0eba0c4
14. energy subsidy reform and sustainable development: challenges for policymakers - the United Nations, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.un.org/esa/sustdev/csd/csd9_bp14.pdf
15. Fossil fuel subsidy reform: lessons from the Indonesian case - | IDDRI, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.iddri.org/sites/default/files/import/publications/st0616_fs_fossil-fuel-subsidy-reform-indonesia.pdf
16. DESIGNING FOSSIL FUEL SUBSIDY REFORMS IN OECD AND G20 COUNTRIES: A ROBUST SEQUENTIAL APPROACH METHODOLOGY, accessed April 10, 2026, https://one.oecd.org/document/ENV/WKP(2020)15/en/pdf
17. Fossil Fuel Subsidy Reforms - United Nations Development ..., accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/2021-10/UNDP-Fossil-Fuel-Subsidy-Reforms-Lessons-and-Opportunities.pdf
18. Playbook of Solutions to Mobilize Clean Energy Investment in EMDEs - World Economic Forum publications, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Playbook_of_Solutions_to_Mobilize_Clean_Energy_Investment_in_EMDEs_2024.pdf
19. Just Energy Transition Partnership grants and country platforms Lessons from Indonesia and South Africa, accessed April 10, 2026, https://justtransitionfinance.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/11/Just-Energy-Transition-Partnership-grants-and-country-platforms-lessons-from-Indonesia-and-South-Africa.pdf
20. De-risking Renewable Energy Investments in Developing Countries: A Multilateral Guarantee Mechanism - MLGP4Climate, accessed April 10, 2026, https://mlgp4climate.com/uploads%2FArticles%2FJan%202026%2FDe-risking%20Renewable%20Energy%20Investments.pdf
21. Powering People and Planet: Impact Report 2025, accessed April 10, 2026, https://energyalliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/10/Global-Energy-Alliance-P3-Impact-Report-2025-3.pdf
22. Executive summary – Demand and Supply Measures for the Steel and Cement Transition – Analysis - IEA, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.iea.org/reports/demand-and-supply-measures-for-the-steel-and-cement-transition/executive-summary
23. How AI is shaping decarbonization pathways in heavy industry - UNIDO, accessed

April 10, 2026, https://decarbonization.unido.org/news/how-ai-shaping-decarbonization-pathways-heavy-industry/
24. Analysis: Costs and Impacts of Low-Carbon Technologies for Steel ..., accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.climatepolicyinitiative.org/report-summary-costs-and-impacts-of-low-carbon-technologies-for-steel-and-cement-sectors-in-india/
25. Cement & Concrete Breakthrough - Plan to Accelerate Solution - Global Climate Action Portal, accessed April 10, 2026, https://climateaction.unfccc.int/assets/documents/3_.pdf
26. SIEMENS INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSITION MONITOR 2025, accessed April 10, 2026, https://assets.new.siemens.com/siemens/assets/api/uuid:2bde3c48-7ed1-49e6-9ad9-13d7754257d4/Siemens-ITM-Report-03-Industry.pdf
27. Demand-Pull Tools for Innovation in the Cement and Iron and Steel Sectors - RFF.org, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.rff.org/publications/reports/demand-pull-tools-for-innovation-in-the-cement-and-iron-and-steel-sectors/
28. Building Demand for Low-Carbon Concrete - EFI Foundation, accessed April 10, 2026, https://efifoundation.org/reports/building-demand-for-low-carbon-concrete/
29. Leveraging De-Risking Instruments and International Co-ordination to Catalyse Investment in Clean Hydrogen | OECD, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/leveraging-de-risking-instruments-and-international-co-ordination-to-catalyse-investment-in-clean-hydrogen_9a377303-en.html
30. De-Risking Renewable Energy Investments in Developing Countries: A Multilateral Guarantee Mechanism - MIT Sites, accessed April 10, 2026, https://sites.mit.edu/mmehling/de-risking-renewable-energy-investments-in-developing-countries-a-multilateral-guarantee-mechanism/
31. Publication: How to Scale Solar Power Generation in Emerging Markets - Open Knowledge Repository, accessed April 10, 2026, https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/entities/publication/33634603-ccbf-5528-bba6-2fe379189555
32. 34 Financing programmes and de-risking instruments - IRENA, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.irena.org/Decarbonising-hard-to-abate-sectors-with-renewables-Enablers-and-recommendations/Industry-sector/34-Financing-programmes-and-de-risking-instruments
33. GET.invest Results Deck, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.get-invest.eu/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/GET.invest-Results-Deck_202303-1.pdf
34. Leaving no one behind: just energy transition of fossil fuel-producing countries - Frontiers, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/political-science/articles/10.3389/fpos.2025.1

536594/full
35. Transitioning away from fossil fuels in a just, orderly and equitable manner - University of Cape Town, accessed April 10, 2026, https://commerce.uct.ac.za/sites/default/files/media/documents/commerce_uct_ac_za/869/prism-working-paper-2026-2-muttitt-winkler.pdf
36. Navigating Just Energy Transition Together: Shared learnings from South Africa, Indonesia, and Vietnam - IISD, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.jetknowledge.org/knowledge/navigating-just-energy-transition-together-shared-learnings-south-africa-indonesia-vietnam/
37. Realizing the Potential of Just Energy Transition Partnerships in the Current Geopolitical Environment, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.energypolicy.columbia.edu/publications/realizing-the-potential-of-just-energy-transition-partnerships-in-the-current-geopolitical-environment/
38. JETP experience in South Africa and Indonesia, and lessons learnt for Vietnam - Southeast Asia Energy Transition Partnership, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.energytransitionpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/07/20230508_Report-on-JETP-experience-in-SA-and-Indonesia_ENG.docx-1.pdf
39. Part Three: Conclusions and Lessons Learned - Just Transitions: a comparative perspective, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.gov.scot/publications/transitions-comparative-perspective/pages/5/
40. Strengthening Just Energy Transition Partnerships (JETPs): Lessons... - Transparency International, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.transparency.org/en/publications/strengthening-just-energy-transition-partnerships-jetps-lessons-learned-for-a-just-energy-transition
41. From phasing-out to phasing-in: lessons from Spain's just transition governance framework - Real Instituto Elcano, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.realinstitutoelcano.org/en/analyses/from-phasing-out-to-phasing-in-lessons-from-spains-just-transition-governance-framework/
42. Delivering on 2030 forest goals: Our submission to the COP30 ..., accessed April 10, 2026, https://forestdeclaration.org/submission-on-the-roadmap/
43. Human Rights Watch Submission to the COP30 Presidency Consultation on a Roadmap to Halt and Reverse Deforestation and Forest Degradation by 2030, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.hrw.org/news/2026/04/08/human-rights-watch-submission-to-the-cop30-presidency-consultation-on-a-roadmap-to
44. Unmistakable Message at COP30 in Belém: Indigenous Peoples' Rights Are Non-Negotiable | Cultural Survival, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.culturalsurvival.org/publications/cultural-survival-quarterly/unmistakable-message-cop30-belem-indigenous-peoples-rights
45. COP30 Assessment by the Articulation of Indigenous Peoples of Brazil (APIB), accessed April 10, 2026, https://apiboficial.org/en/2025/11/27/avaliacao-da-articulacao-dos-povos-indigenas-do-brasil-apib-sobre-a-cop30/
46. Environmental Organisations Submit Joint Recommendations to Shape Global Roadmap to End Deforestation - Nature4Climate, accessed April 10, 2026,

https://nature4climate.org/nature4climate-coalition-submits-joint-recommendations-to-shape-the-global-halting-deforestation-and-degradation-roadmap/
47. Indigenous knowledge and direct financing are pillars of climate adaptation - COP 30, accessed April 10, 2026, https://cop30.br/en/news-about-cop30/indigenous-knowledge-and-direct-financing-are-pillars-of-climate-adaptation
48. In the Brazilian Amazon, an Indigenous Bioeconomy Takes Root, accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.wri.org/insights/brazilian-amazon-indigenous-bioeconomy-takes-root
49. Forest Solutions: Showcasing solutions by Indigenous Peoples and ..., accessed April 10, 2026, https://www.greenpeace.org/international/publication/79742/forest-solutions-indigenous-peoples-local-communities-amazon-congo-basin-indonesia-rights-forest-protection/